Page 97 of 269

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF ORANGE, CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER

DEPARTMENT C-22

KAREN MICHELE ROZIER, AN INDIVIDUAL,

PLAINTIFF,

VS.

CASE NO 30-2012 00601310

BANK OF AMERICA, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION AS SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO LASALLE BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION AS TRUSTEE FOR RAAC 2007RPI; RESIDENTIAL FUNDING COMPANY; AND DOES 1-100;

DEFENDANTS.

HONORABLE SHEILA FELL, JUDGE PRESIDING
REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT

MAY 10, 2013

APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:

FOR PLAINTIFF:

KAREN MICHELE ROZIER IN PROPRIA PERSONA

FOR DEFENDANTS LUIS RODRIGUEZ AND SALLY BELTRAN:

LOCKE LORD LLP

BY: CHRISTOPHER LEE ATTORNEY AT LAW

FOR DEFENDANT U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, ETC.:

SEVERSON & WERSON BY: YARON SHAHAM ATTORNEY AT LAW

ALICIA DUBOIS, CSR #6164 OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER



Page 98 of 269

1	SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA - MANTO, 2013
2	MORNING SESSION
3	****
4	
5	(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN
6	COURT:)
7	
8	THE COURT: OKAY. LET'S SEE. WE HAVE ROZIER
9	VERSUS BANK OF AMERICA.
10	MRS. ROZIER: HELLO, YOUR HONOR.
11	THE COURT: I JUST NEED YOUR APPEARANCE FOR THE
12	RECORD.
13	MRS. ROZIER: KAREN ROZIER, PLAINTIFF.
14	MR. LEE: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR, GHRES INTERIOR
15	BEHALF OF ADDITIONANTS SALTY BETTERAN AND TUTS ROBRIGUEZ.
16	MR. SHAHAM: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR, MARON SHAHAM
17	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANCIUS BANK, ERRONEOUSLY SUED AS
18	BANK OF AMERICA, GMAC MORTCAGE, ETS SERVICES, AND
19	AND THAT'S THE SHORT
20	VERSION.
21	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU. ALL RIGHT. AND DID
22	YOU ALL SEE THE TENTATIVE?
23	MR. SHAHAM: YES, YOUR HONOR.
24	MR. LEE: YES, YOUR HONOR.
25	MR. SHAHAM: I WAS HOPING I COULD GO FIRST, BECAUSE
26	I THINK THAT MAYBE I COULD CLEAR SOME THINGS UP AND MAKE

Page 99 0 + 269

1	THINGS A LOT CLEANER.
2	MRS. ROZIER: YOUR HONOR, I DOUBT THAT, BECAUSE
3	THEY
4	THE COURT: WELL, LET HIM TRY.
5	MRS. ROZIER: OKAY.
6	MR. SHAHAM: I THINK I CAN TRY.
7	I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THE TENTATIVE
8	RULING, YOUR HONOR, BUT I THINK THAT, IN REGARD TO THE
9	FACT THAT THE CASE HAS THREE PLAINTIFFS, ONE OF WHICH IS
10	KAREN ROZIER, HER HUSBAND, DAVID ROZIER, AND THE TRUST
11	THE COURT AND ENTRY HAVE CHECKED BUATULTURE.
12	MR. SHAHAM: I'M SPEAKING CASE NUMBER
13	2012-00601310.
14	The Gourt: Yes I only have one plainflet.
15	MR. SHAHAM: I CAN SHOW YOU THE SECOND-AMENDED
16	COMPLATION VOLD HONOR THERE ARE DURED IN A THURSDAY
	COMPLAINT, YOUR HONOR. THERE ARE THREE PLAINTIFFS IN
17	THAT CASE, YOUR HONOR.
17	THAT CASE, YOUR HONOR.
17 18	THAT CASE, YOUR HONOR. MRS. ROZIER: IF I MAY, YOUR HONOR. WE HAD THIS
17 18 19	THAT CASE, YOUR HONOR. MRS. ROZIER: IF I MAY, YOUR HONOR. WE HAD THIS DISCUSSION THE LAST TIME WE WERE HERE.
17 18 19 20	THAT CASE, YOUR HONOR. MRS. ROZIER: IF I MAY, YOUR HONOR. WE HAD THIS DISCUSSION THE LAST TIME WE WERE HERE. THE COURT: OKAY.
17 18 19 20 21	THAT CASE, YOUR HONOR. MRS. ROZIER: IF I MAY, YOUR HONOR. WE HAD THIS DISCUSSION THE LAST TIME WE WERE HERE. THE COURT: OKAY. MRS. ROZIER AND WE'VE ALSO HAD THIS DISCUSSION IN
17 18 19 20 21 22	THAT CASE, YOUR HONOR. MRS. ROZIER: IF I MAY, YOUR HONOR. WE HAD THIS DISCUSSION THE LAST TIME WE WERE HERE. THE COURT: OKAY. MRS. ROZIER: AND WE'VE ALSO HAD THIS DISCUSSION IN FRONT OF JUDGE HUNT AND IN FRONT OF THE CLERK. AND EVEN
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THAT CASE, YOUR HONOR. MRS. ROZIER: IF I MAY, YOUR HONOR. WE HAD THIS DISCUSSION THE LAST TIME WE WERE HERE. THE COURT: OKAY. MRS. ROZIER: AND WE'VE ALSO HAD THIS DISCUSSION IN FRONT OF JUDGE HUNT AND IN FRONT OF THE CLERK AND EVEN. THE YOU CO IN THE SYSTEM THERE IS ONLY ONE PLAINTLEF IN

Page 100 07 269

REPRESENTING ANY DEFENDANCE IN THIS CASE. AND THAT WAS
MINIMULE CONCERN IS WHY THEY DEMURRED WHEN I'M NOT SUING
ANY PARTIES THAT THEY REPRESENT.

MR. SHAHAM: YOUR HONOR, IF I CAN CONTINUE AGAIN.

MR. SHAHAM: THE SECOND-AMENDED COMPLAINT THAT IS

THE COURT: YES.

FILED WITH THE COURT --

THE COURT: GO AHEAD.

MR. SHAHAM: -- THAT IS ON THE RECORD, HAS THREE PLAINTIFFS. AND IF YOU WOULD LIKE I CAN SHOW IT TO YOU OR YOU CAN PULL IT UP, I KNOW YOU GUYS HAVE ACCESS.

THE CLERK: YOUR HONOR, I'M PULLING UP THE COMPLAINT NOW. I HAVE THE SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT. THE ONE WE SPOKE ABOUT WAS NUMBER 13.

THE COURT: THIS IS NUMBER 12, 01310. I SEE, OKAY,
THERE ARE ADDITIONAL PLAINTIFFS LISTED ON THIS ONE.

MS. COURTS SHAPPING YES. WE HAD A MEETING AND IT WAS
RULED THAT WE COULD -- BECAUSE A TRIAL HAD ALREADY BEEN
SET, UNDGE HUNT GAVE US THE OPTION OF WHETHER WE WERE
GOING TO KEEP THE TRIAL DATE OR ADD THE ADDITIONAL
PRAINTIFFS AND I CHOSE NOT TO ADD THE PLAINTIFF. SO WE
WHAT BACK TO ONLY ONE PLAINTIFF, WE KEPT THE TRIAL DATE,
AND U.S. BANK WAS NEVER ADDED.

SO IN THE ORIGINAL COMPLAINT U.S. BANK WAS A PARTY. I DROPPED THEM AS A PARTY WHEN THE DECISION WAS -- WHEN THE SALE WAS REVERSED. U.S. BANK IS NOT A



Page 101 0 7 269

PARTY. THEN WE ORIGINALLY TRIED TO ADD ADDITIONAL
DEFENDANTS AND JUDGE HUND SALD THAT BEGAUSE WE HAD
ALREADY HAD A TRIAL DATE SET, THAT I COULDN'T ADD ANY
OTHER I CAN'T ADD DAVID AND THE TRUST THE TRUST.
BECAUSE IT WASN'T PROPERLY REPRESENTED AND DAVID, IF I
ADDED HIM, THAT WE WOULD HAVE TO CHANGE THE TRIAL DATE.
WE AGREED NOT TO CHANGE THE TRIAL DATE;
was Just Karen Going to trial against bank of America.
WE ATT AGREED TO THAT. AND THEN THESE GUYS QUICKLY CAME
IN AND MOVED IT FROM JUDGE HUNT AND THEN ALSO DEMURRED,
PRETENDING AS IF ALL OF THOSE AGREEMENTS NEVER HARBENED.
THE COURT: BUT THE RESULT IS THE SAME. WHAT IS
THE PROBLEM?
MR. SHAHAM: WELL, I THINK WHAT I WANT TO DO HERE
IS, IF THE PLAINTIFF ALLOW ME AND THE COURT ALLOW ME, I
THINK WHAT WE CAN DO HERE IS CLEAN UP A LOD OF STUFF BY
THINK WHAT WE CAN DO HERE IS CLEAN UP A LOT OF STUFF BY STUREY HAVING PLAINTIFF DAVID ROZIER AND PLAINTIFF THE
SIMBLY HAVING PLAINTIFF DAVID ROZIER AND PLAINTIFF THE
SIMBLY HAVING PLAINTIFF DAVID ROZIER AND PLAINTIFF THE TRUST, WHO DAVID ROZIER IS THE TRUSTEE OF, SIMPLY FILE A
SIMBLY HAVING PLAINTIFF DAVID ROZIER AND PLAINTIFF THE TRUST, WHO DAVID ROZIER IS THE TRUSTEE OF, SIMPLY FILE A REQUEST FOR DISMISSAL OF THE SAME DEFENDANTS THAT KAREN
SIMPLY HAVING PLAINTIFF DAVID ROZIER AND PLAINTIFF THE TRUST, WHO DAVID ROZIER IS THE TRUSTEE OF SIMPLY FILE A REQUEST FOR DISMISSAL OF THE SAME DEFENDANTS THAT KAREN ROZIER HAS DISMISSED IN THIS 2012
SIMPLY HAVING PLAINTIFF DAVID ROZIER AND PLAINTIFF THE TRUST, WHO DAVID ROZIER IS THE TRUSTEE OF, SIMPLY FILE A REQUEST FOR DISMISSAL OF THE SAME DEFENDANTS THAT KAREN ROZIER HAS DISMISSED IN THIS 2012 THE COURT: SO WHAT YOU'RE SAYING IS THAT BY THIS
SIMPLY HAVING PLAINTIFF DAVID ROZIER AND PLAINTIFF THE TRUST, WHO DAVID ROZIER IS THE TRUSTEE OF SIMPLY FILE A REQUEST FOR DISMISSAL OF THE SAME DEFENDANTS THAT KAREN ROZIER HAS DISMISSED IN THIS 2012 THE COURT: SO WHAT YOU'RE SAYING IS THAT BY THIS SECOND-AMENDED COMPLAINT YOU'VE STILL GOT OTHER PARTIES
SIMPLY HAVING PLAINTIFF DAVID ROZIER AND PLAINTIFF THE TRUST, WHO DAVID ROZIER IS THE TRUSTEE OF, SIMPLY FILE A REQUEST FOR DISMISSAL OF THE SAME DEFENDANTS THAT KAREN ROZIER WAS DISMISSED IN THIS 2012 — THE COURT: SO WHAT YOU'RE SAYING IS THAT BY THIS SECOND-AMENDED COMPLAINT YOU'VE STILL GOT OTHER PARTIES WHO HAVE NOT DISMISSED YOUR CLIENT.

Page 102 of 269

A WHOLE LOT EASIER FOR THE RECORD. 1 2 THE COURT: WELL, LET ME ASK HER. 3 MRS. ROZIER: WELL, WIRELES OF ATTACHER BLAINTIES 4 NONE OF THE PEATOTTEPS ARE SUING U.S. BANK. 5 THE COURT: BUT ACCORDING TO WHAT THE PLEADINGS LOOK LIKE TT APPEARS -- I DON'T SHE U.S. BANK ON HERE. 6 7 MRS. ROZIER: EXACULY. THE COURT: ON THE SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT 8 9 MRS-ROWHER OR THE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT THE COURT: AND I SEE LUIS RODRIGUEZ AND I SEE 10 11 SALLY BELTRAN AS DEFENDANTS, BUT I DON'T SEE U.S. BANK 12 DID U.S. BANK TAKE -- SUBSTITUTE FOR SOMEBODY ELSE? 13 MR. SHAHAM: YES, YOUR HONOR. WHAT HAS HAPPENED, THE SUBJECT LOAN WAS ASSIGNED, THERE WAS A REPORTED 14 ASSIGNMENT OF THE DEED OF TRUST. IT'S WITHIN OUR REQUEST 15 16 FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE. THERE'S A RECORDED ASSIGNMENT OF THE DEED OF TRUST BY BANK OF AMERICA TO U.S. BANK. 17 18 THE COURT: I SEE. SO BANK OF AMERICA IS NOT 19 LASALLE BANK? THERE HAS BEEN -- IT'S -- AGAIN I'M 20 MR. SHAHAM: 21 GIVING YOU SHORT NAME VERSIONS OF WHAT'S GOING ON HERE. 22 MRS. ROZIER: NO, YOUR HONOR. 23 THE COURT: JUST A MINUTE. MR. SHAHAM: I CAN SHOW YOU WITHIN OUR PLEADINGS, 24 25 YOUR HONOR, THE REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE, THE ASSIGNMENT OF THE DEED OF TRUST FOR THE SUBJECT LOAN WAS 26

Page 103 of 269

ASSIGNED TO U.S. BANK. THEREFORE --1 2 THE COURT: BY BANK OF AMERICA? 3 MR. SHAHAM: CORRECT, YOUR HONOR. AND THAT IS WHY WE HAVE MADE AN APPEARANCE IN 4 5 THIS CASE AS U.S. BANK ERRONEOUSLY SUED AS BANK OF AMERICA. 6 7 THE COURT: OKAY. GOT IT. SO IF IT IS THE INTENT OF THE 8 MR. SHAHAM: 9 PLAINTIFFS -- AND THERE ARE, WHETHER THE PLAINTIFFS LIKE IT OR NOT, THERE ARE THREE NAMED PLAINTLESS ON THE SECOND 10 11 AMENDED COMPLAINT -THE COURT: THERE ARE 12 MR. SHAHAM: IF THEY WANT TO CLEAN THAT UP, THEN 13 LET'S -- WHY DON'T WE JUST TAKE FIVE, TEN MINUTES HERE, 14 15 BEFORE WE GO THROUGH EVERYTHING ELSE, AND THEY CAN DISMISS WHOEVER THEY WANT TO DISMISS AND WE CAN CLEAN UP 16 17 ALL THIS STUFF, AND THEN WE CAN PROCEED WITH THE REST OF THIS. 18 LIKE I SAID, I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THE 19 REST OF THE TENTATIVE RULING, I DO HAVE AN ISSUE WITH YOU 20 HAVE AN -- ON THE NUMBER 13 YOU SAY YOU'RE GOING TO 21 STRIKE THE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT, WHICH THE QUESTION I 22 HAVE FOR THAT IS IF YOU'RE GOING TO STRIKE THAT 23 COMPLAINT, WELL, THEN YOU HAVE TO HAVE A -- TO HAVE A 24 CASE YOU HAVE TO HAVE A COMPLAINT. SO IF YOU'RE GOING TO 25

STRIKE THAT COMPLAINT WE CAN'T HAVE A CASE, THEN THERE'S

26

Page 104 of 269

7

NOTHING TO CONSOLIDATE, YOUR HONOR. 1 2 MRS. ROZIER: THERE STILL A COMPLAINT. IF YOU 3 STRIKE THE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT THERE IS A COMPLAINT. 4 THE COURT: NO. I SEE WHAT HE'S SAYING, THOUGH. WAS STRIKING THAT COMPLAINT, SO THERE'S NOTHING TO 5 6 CONSOLIDATE. 7 MR. SHAHAM: EXACTLY, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: EXCEPT THAT EVERYBODY WHO IS INVOLVED 8 9 IN THIS CASE NEEDS TO BE IN ONE LAWSUIT. 10 MR. SHAHAM: EXACTLY. MRS. ROZIER: IF I MAY, YOUR HONOR, BECAUSE HE SAID 11 12 HE'S TRYING TO CLEAR THINGS UP, BUT HE'S NOT. HE'S 13 TRYING TO CONFUSE YOU. AND WE WENT THROUGH THIS IN GREAT 14 LENGTHS WITH JUDGE HUNT, WHICH IS WHY --15 THE COURT: WELL, JUST TELL ME, OKAY? 16 MRS. ROZIER: I -- THERE S NO DOUBT I STONED A NOTE 17 ON DECEMBER 23RD, 2005, NEVER CONTENDED THAT I 18 RESCINDED THAT NOTE ON BEBRUARY 28TH. AND I'VE SHOWN THATETY TYTOENCE: I SIGNED A BRAND-NEW NOTE ON 19 20 MINE 1ST. 2006. U.S. BANK HAS EVIDENCE OF TIP THEY HAVE 21 ALL OF THE DISCLOSURE STATEMENTS. THE CONCERN -- THEY 22 THEN ATTEMPTED TO FORECLOSE SUBJECT TO THE RESCINDED NOTE AND RESCINDED DEED OF TRUST. WHEN I CALLED THEM ON IT 23 24 AND I KEPT SAYING, HEY, GUYS, I AM ACTUALLY PAYING MY 25 NOTE, I'M PAYING MY DUE NOTE --26 THE COURT: OKAY. I DON'T WANT TO HEAR -- STOP FOR

Page 105 0 + 269

1	A MINUTE.
2	MRS. ROZIER: OKAY, I'LL STOP.
3	THE COURT: STOP FOR A MINUTE. I DON'T WANT TO
4	HEAR THE CASE. I DON'T WANT TO HEAR THE FACTS OF THE
5	CASE. I WANT TO KNOW WHAT THE CAPTION SHOULD SAY. AND
6	IF YOU WILL SIT DOWN WITH THEM FOR JUST TWO MINUTES AND
7	CROSS OUT AND REDO OR WHATEVER, I NEED TO KNOW WHICH
8	PARTIES I'M DEALING WITH.
9	MRS. ROZIER: YOU HAVE TWO DIFFERENT CASES. BANK
10	OF AMERICA ACTUALLY FORECLOSED ON MY HOUSE
11	THE COURT: OKAY. WOULD YOU
12	MRS. ROZIER: NINE MONTHS AFTER THEY SOLD THE
13	HOUSE TO U.S. BANK.
14	THE COURT: OKAY.
15	MRS. ROZIER: WHICH IS WHY I'M SUING BANK OF
16	AMERICA. IT HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH U.S. BANK.
17	THE COURT: OKAY. IF YOU WILL JUST SIT DOWN WITH
18	THEM FOR TWO MINUTES.
19	MRS. ROZIER: OKAY.
20	THE COURT: AND LET'S FIGURE OUT WHO BELONGS IN
21	THIS, BECAUSE I'M GOING TO GO THROUGH THIS EVERY TIME I
22	CALL THE CASE.
23	MRS. ROZIER: I WILL DO THAT, YOUR HONOR.
24	MR. SHAHAM: THAT'S THE PROBLEM, YOUR HONOR.
25	YOUR HONOR, BY THE WAY, IF I COULD HAVE THAT
26	COVER SHEET THAT MR. CLERK PRINTED OUT FOR YOU, WE CAN GO

Page 106 of 269

1	THROUGH IT WITH THE PLAINTIFFS. I WOULD REALLY
2	APPRECIATE THAT, YOUR HONOR.
3	MRS. ROZIER: I'LL ALSO GO THROUGH WHAT THE CIVIL
4	COURT SHOWED.
5	THE COURT: JUST A MINUTE.
6	MR. SHAHAM: YOUR HONOR, WE CAN JUST STAND RIGHT
7.	HERE.
8	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, I'M GOING TO LET YOU DO
9	THAT. IF YOU WILL GO OUT FOR JUST A MINUTE AND I CAN
10	CALL THE OTHER THE REMAINING CASE.
11	MRS. ROZIER: OKAY.
12	MR. LEE: YES, YOUR HONOR.
13	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. LET'S AND I'LL RECALL
14	THIS ONE AGAIN.
15	****
16	(OTHER MATTERS HEARD BUT NOT TRANSCRIBED.)
17	****
18	MRS. ROZIER: OKAY. LET'S CALL ROZIER VERSUS BANK
19	OF AMERICA.
20	MR. SHAHAM: GOOD MORNING ONCE AGAIN, YOUR HONOR.
21	MRS. ROZIER: GOOD MORNING AGAIN, YOUR HONOR.
22	MR. LEE: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR.
23	THE COURT: OKAY.
24	MR. SHAHAM: IF I MAY HAND TO THE CLERK IF I MAY
25	APPROACH I THINK WHAT YOU WILL SEE THERE IS WE HAVE
26	SIMPLIFIED WHO THE PLAINTIFF, SINGULAR, SHOULD BE IN THIS

Page 107 of 269

	activity and activity activ
1	CASE.
2	THE COURT: OKAY.
3	MR. SHAHAM: AND WHO THE ONLY TWO REMAINING
4	DEFENDANTS WILL BE IN THIS CASE.
5	MR. LEE: GOING FORWARD.
6	THE COURT: EXCELLENT.
. 7	MR. SHAHAM: GOING FORWARD.
8	THE COURT: OKAY. "BY MERGER TO LASALLE BANK,"
9	OKAY.
10	MRS. ROZIER: AND IF I MAY, YOUR HONOR, THAT'S
11	SEPARATE WE DID NOT DO THAT FOR THE SEPARATE CASE.
12	THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND, THAT'S JUST THIS ONE
13	CASE.
14	MRS. ROZIER: OKAY.
15	THE COURT: HOWEVER OKAY. THE OTHER CASE
16	PROBABLY WON'T SURVIVE THERE WON'T BE ANY NEED TO
17	MRS. ROZIER: BUT THE ISSUE WAS ON THE SECOND
18	CASE IT WAS THE SAME ISSUE WITH THE DEFENDANTS. *DAVID*
19	rolter was a defendant a plaintier because he is the
20	ACTUAL BUTEDER OF THE HOUSE, AND JUDGE HUNT RULED THAT HE
21	DID HAVE STANDING TO MAKE THOSE BECAUSE HE DID -
22	THE COURT: OKAY. WELL, YEAH, LET ME JUST STOP
23	YOU. ON NUMBER 13 DO WE HAVE OTHER WHAT DOES THE
24	CAPTION LOOK LIKE ON THAT ONE?
25	THE CLERK: MR. ROZIER AS TRUSTEE, YOUR HONOR.
26	THE COURT: IT'S ONLY ONE PARTY. IT'S ONLY DAVID

1	ROZIER FOR THE TRUSTEE FOR THE DAVID BEAR
2	MR. SHAHAM: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR.
3	MRS. ROZIER: BUT ACCORDING TO THE CLERK
4	DOWNSTAIRS, AND ALSO ACCORDING TO THE ONLINE SYSTEM.
5	KAREN AND DAVID ARE BOTH STILL PARTIES OF THIS COMPLAINT
6	IT WAS THE SAME ISSUE. SO WE WOULD LIKE TO AMEND IT
7	BECAUSE DAVID IS ACTUALLY THE BUILDER OF THE HOUSE,
8	WAS THE UNCOMPENSATED BUTTDER AND WAS CIVEN STANDING TO
9	SUE U.S. BANKE BECAUSE MY NAME IS ON THE NOTE, WHICH THEY
10	ARE OFFERING, BEGAUSE WE KNOW IT S.A. PROVEN FALSE NOTE.
11	IT'S NOT AN ACTUAL NOTE.
12	THE COURT: OKAY. STOP JUST FOR A MINUTE.
13	THE ONLY PLAINTIFF THAT I HAVE ON HERE IS DAVID
14	EUGENE ROFIER. IF THE FACTS ARE THE SAME ON THIS SHOULD
15	HE NOT BE PART OF THE OTHER SUIT?
16	MRS. ROZIER: THE FACTS ARE DIFFERENT. THE ONE
17	CASE T WAS SUING BANK OF AMERICA FOR SOMETHING THAT
18	HAPPENED LAST YEAR. ON THE SECOND CASE, AS THE BUILDER,
19	ME'S SUING MULTIPLE ENTITIES BECAUSE TO CLEAR TO
20	CANCEL THE VOLDED DEED OF TRUST AND TO MAKE THEM PROVE UP
21	THE DEED OF TRUST. THAT IS COMPLETELY SEPARATE ITEMS THAT
22	INVOLVE COMPLETENT DIFFERENT PARTIES.
23	THE COURT: OKAY. WHEN I CALL THAT CASE I'LL HEAR
24	WHAT HE HAS TO SAY. ALL RIGHT?
25	MRS. ROZIER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
26	THE COURT: ON THIS ONE WE HAVE A WORKING

1	COMPLAINT.
2	MR. SHAHAM: YES, YOUR HONOR.
3	THE COURT: YOU ARE NOT PART OF IT.
4	MR. SHAHAM: MY CLIENT IS A PART OF IT. AS WE'VE
5	EXPLAINED, YOUR HONOR, U.S. BANK IS ERRONEOUSLY SUED AS
6	BANK OF AMERICA BASED ON THE FACT THAT THERE IS AND
7	ASSIGNMENT OF THE DEED OF TRUST FOR THE SUBJECT LOAN
8	WHERE BANK OF AMERICA HAS ASSIGNED THE LOAN THE
9	BENEFICIAL INTEREST OF THE DEED OF TRUST, TO U.S. BANK.
10	THE COURT: OKAMA BUT THEY DIDN'T CHOOSE TO SUE
11	U.S. BANK
12	MR. SHAHAM: TECHNICALLY, NO. HOWEVER, YOUR HONOR,
13	THE PROPER PARTY THAT IS SUPPOSED TO BE BEFORE THE COURT
14	AND PER THE TITLE DOCUMENTS THAT THE COURT GRANTED THE
15	REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE THAT WAS CONCURRENTLY FILED
16	WITH THIS DEMURRED CLEARLY SHOWS THAT BANK OF AMERICA
17	ASSIGNED THE BENEFICIAL INTEREST IN THE DEED OF TRUST TO
18	U.S. BANK, AND, THEREFORE, WE HAVE APPEARED AS U.S. BANK
19	ERRONEOUSLY SUED AS BANK OF AMERICA.
20	THE COURT: OKAY. AND THE ACTIONS THAT ARE BEING
21	SUED UPON HAPPENED BEFORE OR AFTER THE MERGER? AND DOES
22	IT MATTER?
23	MR. SHAHAM: I DON'T EVEN THINK IT MATTERS, BECAUSE
24	NOW, BASED ON WHAT YOU HAVE HERE IS, IF THE COURT
25	FOLLOWED ITS TENTATIVE RULE, THE REMAINING CLAIM WILL BE
26	FOR WRONGFUL FORECLOSURE. WENT THE PERSON THAT THE

)7

Page 110 of 269

CONTITY THAT HAS THE CURRENT INTEREST IN THE LOAN THAT SHE
IS CLAIMING THERE'S A PROBLEM WITH IS U.S. BANK. WHETHER
SHE LIKES THAT OR NOT, THAT IS THE ACTUAL TRUTH, YOUR
HONOR.
MRS. ROZIER: NO, IT'S NOT.
MR. SHAHAM: AND SO IE THE PLAINTLES WANTS TO GO
FORWARD AND ACTUALLY WANTS A TRUE RESOLUTION OF THIS
CASE, THE PROPER DEFENDANT IS U.S. BANK.
AND AGAIN, THAT IS CLEARLY IN THE REQUEST FOR
JUDICIAL NOTICE, THERE'S AN ASSIGNMENT OF THE DEED OF
TRUST. I CAN SHOW IT TO YOUR HONOR AGAIN.
THE COURT: OKAY. SO I UNDERSTAND
MR. SHAHAM: THANK YOU.
THE COURT: IF SHE WERE TO LEMBS ROZIER
WERE TO OBTAIN A JUDGMENT IN THIS CASE FOR WHATEVER FACTS
SHE IS ALLEGING AND SHE WAS SUCCESSFUL IN THAT, WOULD
LASALLE BANK OR, I'M SORRY, U.S. BANK WOULD THEN BE
OBLIGATED TO HER?
MR. SHAHAM: YES, YOUR HONOR. WE'VE APPEARED
BEFORE THE COURT, WE'VE MADE MULTIPLE APPEARANCES, AS YOU
KNOW. WE FILED A DEMURRER. WE ACTUALLY HAD A DEMURRER
RULED ON BY JUDGE HUNT.
MRS. ROZIER: AND IT WAS OVERRULED.
THE COURT: STOP.
MR. SHAHAM: SO WE ARE BEFORE THE COURT, YOUR
HONOR. WE HAVE LET ME PUT IT THIS WAY, YOUR HONOR:

Page 111 of 269

WE HAVEN'T TRIED TO HIDE FROM THIS CASE SIMPLY BECAUSE
WE'RE NOT ON THE CAPTION. WE'VE ACTUALLY COME BEFORE THE
COURT TO TELL THE COURT TO SAY, LOOK, YOUR HONOR, WE'RE
THE PROPER DEFENDANT. LET US BE IN FRONT OF THIS COURT,
WE HAVE BEEN IN FRONT OF THE COURT.
AND SO, BECAUSE WE'RE THE PROPER DEFENDANT NOW,
WE CAN TRY TO RESOLVE THIS CASE OR AT LEAST DEFEND THE
PROPER DEFENDANT IN THE CASE. WE'RE NOT TRYING TO HIDE,
YOUR HONOR, WE'RE RIGHT HERE.
THE COURT: OKAY. IF YOU WERE TO CHANGE YOUR NAME
TO KAREN EILEEN ROZIER, YOU WOULD STILL HAVE THE SAME
LAWSUIT. RIGHT?
MRS. ROZIER: WELL, THERE'S THAT'S A GOOD I'M
 GLAD YOU SAID THAT, BECAUSE THEY ACTUALLY U.S. BANK
IS WHAT THEY'RE SAYING DOESN'T MAKE SENSE. THE
JUDICIAL NOTICE
THE COURT: NO, IT DOES MAKE SENSE.
MRS. ROZIER: THE NOTICE OF RECISION OF TRUSTEE'S
SALE SAYS THAT BANK OF AMERICA CLAIMED THAT THEY WERE THE
BENEFICIARY OF RECORD ON OCTOBER 4TH. SO NOW BANK OF
AMERICA, WHICH IS A COMPLETELY SEPARATE ENTITY, THEY HAD
TO KNOW IN SEPTEMBER THAT THEY HAD ASSIGNED THE NOTE TO
U.S. BANK. SO IN SEPTEMBER BANK OF AMERICA HAD TO KNOW
THEY WEREN'T THE BENEFICIARY.

Page 112 of 269

1	MRS. ROZIER: BUT IT WAS BANK OF AMERICA THAT PUT
2	THE NOTES ON MY DOOR THAT SAID BANK OF AMERICA DID THESE
3	THINGS TO ME
4	THE COURT: HE SWILLING TO STAND BEHIND WHATEVER
5	BANK OF AMERICA DID OR DIDN'T DO OR DID PROPERLY OR
6	IMPROPERIAL U.S. BANK IS WILLING TO STAND UP AND SAY, I
7	AM IN THE SHOES OF BANK OF AMERICA.
8	MRS. ROZIER: WELL, IF U.S. BANK WHAT U.S. BANK
9	is saying is that bank of america was not wrong to
10	RESCIND OR
11	THE COURT: WE'RE NOT EVEN THAT FAR.
12	MRS. ROZIER: OKAY.
13	THE COURT: WE'RE JUST NOT THERE. WE'RE JUST
14	DECIDING WHICH PARTIES CAN COME BEFORE THIS COURT AND
15	ARGUE. AND MESSAMING, TO THE EXTENT THAT YOU HAVE A
16	CLAIM AGAINST BANK OF AMERICA, HE IS BANK OF AMERICA WITH
17	A DIEBERAND NAME.
18	MRS. ROZIER: AND I'M SAYING THAT HE IS NOT BANK OF
19	AMERICA WITH A DIFFERENT NAME; THAT THEY WERE COMPLETELY
20	DIFFERENT ENTITIES: THAT IT IS BANK OF AMERICA THAT DID
21	THIS ONE THING STATE
22	THE COURT: MAXBE AT THAT TIME.
23	MRS. ROZIER: WELL, IT WAS A COMPLETELY DIFFERENT
24	ENTITY AT THAT TIME, YES.
25	THE COURT: HOWEVER, HE JUST ASSUMED ALL
26	RESPONSIBILITY AND LIABILITY AND ALL THE GOOD STUFF AND

Page 113 0 = 269

12-12020-mg

1	THE BAD STUFF THAT BANK OF AMERICA MAY HAVE DONE AT THAT
2	
3	MRS. ROZIER: I'M NOT HEARING THAT. MAYBE I NEED
4	TO UNDERSTAND. WHAT I'M HEARING HIM SAYING IS THAT,
5	BECAUSE U.S. BANK WAS THE ASSIGNEE OF RECORD IN
6	JANUARY
7	THE COURT: WE'RE NOT TALKING DATES, OKAY.
8	MRS. ROZIER: BUT WHAT I'M HEARING HIM SAYING IS
9	THAT BANK OF AMERICA HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH THE OCTOBER
10	FORECLOSURE.
11	THE COURT: NO. WHAT HE IS SAYING IS HE
12	ESSENTIALLY STEPS IN THE SHOES OF BANK OF AMERICA; AND
13	WHATEVER BANK OF AMERICA DID OR DIDN'T DO, U.S. BANK IS
14	NOW STEPPING UP TO THE PLATE FOR.
15	IS THAT CORRECT?
16	MR. SHAHAM: CORRECT, YOUR HONOR. LIKE I SAID
17	BEFORE, BENEFICIAL INTEREST IN THE DEED OF TRUST ON THE
18	SUBJECT LOAN WAS CLEARLY ASSIGNED TO U.S. BANK AND,
19	THEREFORE, U.S. BANK IS THE PROPER DEFENDANT. AND
20	BECAUSE IT'S NOT NAMED ON THE CAPTION, WE HAD TO APPEAR
21	AS U.S. BANK ERRONEOUSLY SUED AS BANK OF AMERICA.
22	THE COURT: OKAY. WHEN YOU SAY THE BENEFICIAL
23	INTEREST, DOES THIS SHIELD YOU FROM ANY LIABILITY?
24	MR. SHAHAM: I DON'T THINK IT DOES, YOUR HONOR.
25	THE COURT: OKAY. I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE WE'VE
26	GOT ALL THE PARTIES HERE, BECAUSE I DON'T WANT TO HAVE

Page 114 of 269

1	THE SAME FIGHT LATER.
2	MR. SHAHAM: NO, I DON'T EITHER, YOUR HONOR.
3	AND BY THE WAY, YOUR HONOR, BANK OF AMERICA HAS
4	RETAINED ITS OWN COUNSEL AND HAS FILED A DEMURRER TO THIS
5	SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT. I BELIEVE THE HEARING IS
6	MAY 29TH. I THINK IT'S
7	MR. LEE: SOMETIME LATER THIS MONTH, YOUR HONOR.
8	MR. SHAHAM: YEAH, IT'S MAY 29TH, YOUR HONOR.
9	AND OBVIOUSLY THEY'RE NOT HERE BECAUSE THEY'RE
10	NOT THEIR DEMURRER IS NOT IN FRONT OF THE COURT. BUT
11	THE COURT WILL EVENTUALLY READ THAT DEMURRER, AND WHAT
12	THAT DEMURRER BASICALLY SAYS IS
13	THE COURT: IT'S NOT US.
14	MR. SHAHAM: WE DON'T HAVE ANY INTEREST IN THIS
14 15	MR. SHAHAM: WE DON'T HAVE ANY INTEREST IN THIS PROPERTY ANYMORE.
and the second second second second second	
15	PROPERTY ANYMORE.
15 16	PROPERTY ANYMORE. MRS. ROZIER: YOU SEE, YOUR HONOR, THAT'S EXACTLY
15 16 17	PROPERTY ANYMORE. MRS. ROZIER: YOU SEE, YOUR HONOR, THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT THEY SAY. THEY SAY, WE HAD NO INTEREST IN THIS
15 16 17 18	PROPERTY ANYMORE. MRS. ROZIER: YOU SEE, YOUR HONOR, THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT THEY SAY. THEY SAY, WE HAD NO INTEREST IN THIS PROPERTY ON THIS DATE.
15 16 17 18 19	PROPERTY ANYMORE. MRS. ROZIER: YOU SEE, YOUR HONOR, THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT THEY SAY. THEY SAY, WE HAD NO INTEREST IN THIS PROPERTY ON THIS DATE. THE COURT: BUT THAT'S NOT THE POINT. THE POINT IS
15 16 17 18 19 20	PROPERTY ANYMORE. MRS. ROZIER: YOU SEE, YOUR HONOR, THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT THEY SAY. THEY SAY, WE HAD NO INTEREST IN THIS PROPERTY ON THIS DATE. THE COURT: BUT THAT'S NOT THE POINT. THE POINT IS WHO IS GOING TO ANSWER TO YOU IF YOU WERE SUCCESSFUL IN
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	PROPERTY ANYMORE. MRS. ROZIER: YOU SEE, YOUR HONOR, THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT THEY SAY. THEY SAY, WE HAD NO INTEREST IN THIS PROPERTY ON THIS DATE. THE COURT: BUT THAT'S NOT THE POINT. THE POINT IS WHO IS GOING TO ANSWER TO YOU IF YOU WERE SUCCESSFUL IN YOUR LAWSUIT. AND U.S. BANK IS SAYING, WE ARE
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	PROPERTY ANYMORE. MRS. ROZIER: YOU SEE, YOUR HONOR, THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT THEY SAY. THEY SAY, WE HAD NO INTEREST IN THIS PROPERTY ON THIS DATE. THE COURT: BUT THAT'S NOT THE POINT. THE POINT IS WHO IS GOING TO ANSWER TO YOU IF YOU WERE SUCCESSFUL IN YOUR LAWSUIT. AND U.S. BANK IS SAYING, WE ARE RESPONSIBLE. WE TOOK OVER BANK OF AMERICA THIS LOAN
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	PROPERTY ANYMORE. MRS. ROZIER: YOU SEE, YOUR HONOR, THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT THEY SAY. THEY SAY, WE HAD NO INTEREST IN THIS PROPERTY ON THIS DATE. THE COURT: BUT THAT'S NOT THE POINT. THE POINT IS WHO IS GOING TO ANSWER TO YOU IF YOU WERE SUCCESSFUL IN YOUR LAWSUIT. AND U.S. BANK IS SAYING, WE ARE RESPONSIBLE. WE TOOK OVER BANK OF AMERICA THIS LOAN FROM BANK OF AMERICA, WE TAKE THE GOOD AND THE BAD WITH

Page 115 of 269

THE COURT: NO, NO. 1 2 MRS. ROZIER: BUT THEY'RE NOT SAYING THAT, WE TAKE 3 RESPONSIBILITY FOR WHAT BANK OF AMERICA DID THIS DAY. 4 THE COURT: YES, THEY ARE. 5 MRS. ROZIER: OKAY. THIS TRUST DEED RIGHT NOW, MY 6 PROPERTY, IF YOU GO THROUGH THE RECORDER'S OFFICE RIGHT 7 NOW, MY PROPERTY IS RECORDED AS BEING OWNED BY BANK OF 8 AMERICA. 9 THE COURT: OKAY. MRS. ROZIER: THESE GUYS. 10 DOES U.S. BANK FILE ANYTHING OR RECORD 11 THE COURT: ANYTHING AFTER IT TAKES OVER ALL THESE -- THE BENEFICIAL 12 13 INTEREST IN ALL OF THESE DEEDS OF TRUST? MR. SHAHAM: YES. THROUGH ITS FORECLOSURE TRUSTEE 14 15 AND SERVICING AGENT, IT ACTS REGARDING THE SUBJECT 16 PROPERTY. AS TO WHAT SHE IS REFERRING TO IN A TRUSTEE DEED 17 UPON SALE, YOUR HONOR, ANTOREGIOSURE SALE DID OCCUR IN 18 19 THE PAIL OF LAST YEAR. IT WAS A MISTAKE. AND THERE IS A 20 RECORDED RECISION OF THAT SALE ---21 THE COURT: OKAY. 22 MR. SHAHAM: -- AND, THEREFORE, TITLE IS CURRENTLY STILL HELD -- ACTUALLY NOT BY KAREN ROZIER OR DAVID 23 24 ROZIER, IT IS PROPERLY BEING HELD BY THE TRUST, DUE TO 25 THE FACT THAT THERE WAS I BELIEVE A QUITCLAIM DEED SIGNED BY MR. AND/OR MRS. ROZIER TO THE TRUST. 26

Page 116 07 269

19

1 THE COURT: OKAY. MRS. ROZIER: IT'S ACTUALLY OWNED BY THE BANK RIGHT 2 3 NOW. THE COURT: I DON'T REALLY CARE. 4 5 MRS. ROZIER: BY BANK OF AMERICA. I DON'T REALLY CARE AT THIS POINT THE COURT: 6 7 BECAUSE THE SALE WAS RESCINDED. SO THAT SALE ESSENTIALLY DIDN'T HAPPEN. 8 MRS. ROZIER: IT --9 THE COURT: IT MAY HAVE HAPPENED, BUT THE EFFECT OF 10 11 IT IS NO LONGER CURRENT. RIGHT NOW WHAT THIS GENTLEMAN IS SAYING IS THAT 12 WHATEVER BANK OF AMERICA DID OR DIDN'T DO, U.S. BANK IS 13 WILLING TO STEP UP TO THE PLATE FOR THE GOOD AND FOR THE 14 15 BAD. MR. LEE: MAY I BE HEARD, YOUR HONOR, WITH RESPECT 16 TO MY CLIENT. 17 18 THE COURT: YOU'RE HERE, TOO. YES. MR. LEE: WELL, NOT ANYMORE. 19 THE ONLY THING I WANT IS FOR THE RECORD TO BE 20 CLEAR THAT THE SECOND-AMENDED COMPLAINT IS THE OPERATIVE 21 22 COMPLAINT; THAT THE COURT RECOGNIZES THAT THERE WERE THREE PLAINTIFFS NAMED IN THAT COMPLAINT; THAT MY 23 CLIENTS, SALLY BELTRAN AND LUIS RODRIGUEZ, WERE 24 25 DEFENDANTS IN THAT ACTION, IN THIS ACTION, UP UNTIL 26 TODAY, UP UNTIL THIS COURT HAS NOW GRANTED WHAT APPEARS

Page 117 of 269

1	TO BE A STIPULATION, A STIPULATION A VOLUNTARY
2	DISMISSAL AS TO MY CLIENTS; AND ON A GOING-FORWARD BASIS
3	WE ARE NOW DISMISSED FROM THE CASE.
4	THE COURT: YOU DON'T NEED MR. RODRIGUEZ OR
5	MISS BELTRAN; CORRECT?
6	MRS. ROZIER: WELL, I STIPULATE THAT I
7	DON'T YES, THAT'S EXACTLY RIGHT. BUT THIS WAS MADE
8	BREORE THE 14TH OF DEGEMBER: BEFORE THE DISMISSAID
9	THE COURT: STOP, STOP. TODAY YOU DON'T NEED
10	MISS BELTRAN OR MR. RODRIGUEZ.
11	MRS. ROZIER: NO, I DO NOT; I, KAREN, DON'T.
12	THE COURT: OKAY. THEY'RE GONE.
13	MR. LEE: OKAY.
	l · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
14	THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ANYBODY ELSE OR THERE'S TWO
14 15	THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ANYBODY ELSE OR THERE'S TWO OTHERS?
15	OTHERS?
15 16	OTHERS? MR. LEE: NO, YOUR HONOR.
15 16 17	OTHERS? MR. LEE: NO, YOUR HONOR. NOW, WILL THE COURT ISSUE A MINUTE ORDER AS TO
15 16 17 18	OTHERS? MR. LEE: NO, YOUR HONOR. NOW, WILL THE COURT ISSUE A MINUTE ORDER AS TO THIS OR SHOULD WE JUST THAT'S ON THE RECORD.
15 16 17 18 19	OTHERS? MR. LEE: NO, YOUR HONOR. NOW, WILL THE COURT ISSUE A MINUTE ORDER AS TO THIS OR SHOULD WE JUST THAT'S ON THE RECORD. THE COURT: OH, BOY, NOT ONLY WILL WE HAVE A MINUTE
15 16 17 18 19 20	OTHERS? MR. LEE: NO, YOUR HONOR. NOW, WILL THE COURT ISSUE A MINUTE ORDER AS TO THIS OR SHOULD WE JUST THAT'S ON THE RECORD. THE COURT: OH, BOY, NOT ONLY WILL WE HAVE A MINUTE ORDER BUT WE HAVE HAVE A TRANSCRIPT THAT'S GOING TO BE SO
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	OTHERS? MR. LEE: NO, YOUR HONOR. NOW, WILL THE COURT ISSUE A MINUTE ORDER AS TO THIS OR SHOULD WE JUST THAT'S ON THE RECORD. THE COURT: OH, BOY, NOT ONLY WILL WE HAVE A MINUTE ORDER BUT WE HAVE HAVE A TRANSCRIPT THAT'S GOING TO BE SO LONG.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	OTHERS? MR. LEE: NO, YOUR HONOR. NOW, WILL THE COURT ISSUE A MINUTE ORDER AS TO THIS OR SHOULD WE JUST THAT'S ON THE RECORD. THE COURT: OH, BOY, NOT ONLY WILL WE HAVE A MINUTE ORDER BUT WE HAVE HAVE A TRANSCRIPT THAT'S GOING TO BE SO LONG. MR. LEE: I JUST WANT TO BE ABLE TO TELL MY CLIENTS
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	OTHERS? MR. LEE: NO, YOUR HONOR. NOW, WILL THE COURT ISSUE A MINUTE ORDER AS TO THIS OR SHOULD WE JUST THAT'S ON THE RECORD. THE COURT: OH, BOY, NOT ONLY WILL WE HAVE A MINUTE ORDER BUT WE HAVE HAVE A TRANSCRIPT THAT'S GOING TO BE SO LONG. MR. LEE: I JUST WANT TO BE ABLE TO TELL MY CLIENTS THAT THIS CASE IS SETTLED AS TO THEM; THAT THEY'RE GONE

Page 118 0F269

1	MRS. ROZIER: BUT HE ACTUALLY WANTED DAVID TO
2	DISMISS. JARRADY DISMISSED HIS CONCERN WAS BECAUSE
3 .	OF DAVID.
4	THE COURT: WE'RE TALKING ABOUT JUST THIS ONE CASE.
5	MRS. ROZIER: IN JUST THIS CASE I ALREADY DISMISSED
6	ACAINST HIS CLIENTS.
7	THE COURT: WELL, TODAY WE ARE ACKNOWLEDGING A
8	DIFFERENT-LOOKING CAPTION.
9	MRS. ROZIER: EXACTLY. I'VE ALREADY DISMISSED.
10	BUT HIS CONCERN WAS, BECAUSE DAVID WAS ALSO A PLAINTIFF,
11	THAT HE WANTED DAVID TO DISMISS. SO I BELIEVE
12	THE COURT: WELL, ON THIS COMPLAINT THE ONLY
13	PIAINTIPP IS KAREN ROBIER
14	MRS. ROZIER: YES.
15	MR. LEE: FROM TODAY GOING FORWARD.
16	THE COURT: YES.
17	MRS. ROZIER: OKAY.
18	MR. LEE: I JUST WANTED THAT TO BE CLEAR.
19	THE COURT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. SO OKAY. AND
20	THEN AS TO YOUR CLIENTS THE MOTION IS MOOT.
21	MR. LEE: YES, YOUR HONOR. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
22	THE COURT: CHECK.
23	OKAY, LET'S MOVE ON, THEN. THEN WE HAVE THE
24	SECOND-AMENDED COMPLAINT BY GMAC AND U.S. BANK. WHY DO
25	WE HAVE GMAC WHEN I DON'T SEEM TO HAVE GMAC HERE?
26	MR. SHAHAM: WELL, CMAC HAS NOW BEEN DISMISSED, SO

Page 119 0 = 269

12-12020-mg

1	THAT'S MOOT.
2	THE COURT: OKAY.
3	MR. SHAHAM: AND SO U.S. BANK
. 4	THE COURT: AND SO THIS MOTION, THIS DEMURRER, GOES
5	FORWARD ONLY AS TO U.S. BANK.
6	MR. SHAHAM: AND WE'LL SUBMIT ON THE TENTATIVE AS
7	TO THE DEMURRER, YOUR HONOR.
8	THE COURT: AND DID YOU READ THE TENTATIVE?
9	MRS. ROZIER: I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHAT THAT MEANS.
10	BUT THE WAY I UNDERSTAND IT IS I CAN GO FORWARD WITH THE
11	WRONGFUL COMPLAINT WITH THE WRONGFUL FORECLOSURE.
12	THE COURT: YOU CAN GO FORWARD PURSUANT TO THE
13	THERE WAS A DEMURRER BROUGHT, THIS MOTION.
14	MRS. ROZIER: YES.
14 15	MRS. ROZIER: YES. THE COURT: A MOTION THAT SAYS, EVEN IF YOUR
For the last or work to the last of the la	
15	THE COURT: A MOTION THAT SAYS, EVEN IF YOUR
15 16	THE COURT: A MOTION THAT SAYS, EVEN IF YOUR COMPLAINT STANDS AS IT IS, IT'S NOT IT'S NOT GOOD.
15 16 17	THE COURT: A MOTION THAT SAYS, EVEN IF YOUR COMPLAINT STANDS AS IT IS, IT'S NOT IT'S NOT GOOD. OKAY. HOWEVER, WHAT I HAVE DONE IS MAKE SOME RULINGS ON
15 16 17 18	THE COURT: A MOTION THAT SAYS, EVEN IF YOUR COMPLAINT STANDS AS IT IS, IT'S NOT IT'S NOT GOOD. OKAY. HOWEVER, WHAT I HAVE DONE IS MAKE SOME RULINGS ON THAT. SO I THINK ON A COUPLE OF THEM, ANYWAY, YOU HAVE
15 16 17 18 19	THE COURT: A MOTION THAT SAYS, EVEN IF YOUR COMPLAINT STANDS AS IT IS, IT'S NOT IT'S NOT GOOD. OKAY. HOWEVER, WHAT I HAVE DONE IS MAKE SOME RULINGS ON THAT. SO I THINK ON A COUPLE OF THEM, ANYWAY, YOU HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO AMEND. IS THAT CORRECT?
15 16 17 18 19 20	THE COURT: A MOTION THAT SAYS, EVEN IF YOUR COMPLAINT STANDS AS IT IS, IT'S NOT IT'S NOT GOOD. OKAY. HOWEVER, WHAT I HAVE DONE IS MAKE SOME RULINGS ON THAT. SO I THINK ON A COUPLE OF THEM, ANYWAY, YOU HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO AMEND. IS THAT CORRECT? MRS. ROZIER: AND ESSENTIALLY MY CONCERN IS WHAT
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE COURT: A MOTION THAT SAYS, EVEN IF YOUR COMPLAINT STANDS AS IT IS, IT'S NOT IT'S NOT GOOD. OKAY. HOWEVER, WHAT I HAVE DONE IS MAKE SOME RULINGS ON THAT. SO I THINK ON A COUPLE OF THEM, ANYWAY, YOU HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO AMEND. IS THAT CORRECT? MRS. ROZIER: AND ESSENTIALLY MY CONCERN IS WHAT I DON'T UNDERSTAND IS BANK OF AMERICA AGAIN BANK OF
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE COURT: A MOTION THAT SAYS, EVEN IF YOUR COMPLAINT STANDS AS IT IS, IT'S NOT IT'S NOT GOOD. OKAY. HOWEVER, WHAT I HAVE DONE IS MAKE SOME RULINGS ON THAT. SO I THINK ON A COUPLE OF THEM, ANYWAY, YOU HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO AMEND. IS THAT CORRECT? MRS. ROZIER: AND ESSENTIALLY MY CONCERN IS WHAT I DON'T UNDERSTAND IS BANK OF AMERICA AGAIN BANK OF AMERICA, WHEN I LOOK AT THEY PUT IN THIS RECISION,
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE COURT: A MOTION THAT SAYS, EVEN IF YOUR COMPLAINT STANDS AS IT IS, IT'S NOT IT'S NOT GOOD. OKAY. HOWEVER, WHAT I HAVE DONE IS MAKE SOME RULINGS ON THAT. SO I THINK ON A COUPLE OF THEM, ANYWAY, YOU HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO AMEND. IS THAT CORRECT? MRS. ROZIER: AND ESSENTIALLY MY CONCERN IS WHAT I DON'T UNDERSTAND IS BANK OF AMERICA AGAIN BANK OF AMERICA, WHEN I LOOK AT THEY PUT IN THIS RECISION, WHICH IS WHAT THEY ENTERED AS I TOOK EXCEPTION TO

Page 120 0= 269

1	DOCUMENT IT SAYS THAT AS OF OCTOBER 4TH THAT (AS READ):
2	"BANK OF AMERICA, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION AS
. 3	SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO LASALLE BANK"
4	THE COURT: NOT SO FAST. THE COURT REPORTER IS
, 5	TRYING TO TAKE DOWN EVERYTHING YOU SAY.
6	MRS. ROZIER: THAT:
7	"BANK OF AMERICA, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION AS
8	SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO LASALLE BANK NATIONAL
9	ASSOCIATION AS TRUSTEE RAMP 2007RP1, IS THE
10	BENEFICIARY OF RECORD UNDER THE DEED OF TRUST
11	BY VIRTUE OF ASSIGNMENT OF BENEFICIAL INTEREST
12	RECORDED. BANK OF AMERICA
13	THE COURT: WE JUST WENT THROUGH ALL OF THAT JUST A
14	FEW MINUTES AGO.
15	MRS. ROZIER: WHICH IS WHY I DON'T WANT TO DISMISS
16	BANK OF AMERICA FROM THIS COMPLAINT, BECAUSE THAT'S WHAT
17	I DON'T UNDERSTAND.
18	MR. SHAHAM: SHE HASN'T, YOUR HONOR.
19	THE COURT: RIGHT. BANK OF AMERICA IS STILL IN
20	THERE. HOWEVER, THEY ARE ESSENTIALLY U.S. BANK.
21	MRS. ROZIER: WHICH IS NOT WHAT BANK OF AMERICA HAS
22	INDICATED TO ME IN THEIR CORRESPONDENCE TO ME. SO
23	THE COURT: OKAY. WE HAVE COUNSEL FOR U.S. BANK
24	THAT SAYS THAT THEY ARE HERE AND IN THE SHOES OF BANK OF
25	
	AMERICA.

Page 121 of 269

1	I SHOULD NEVER HAVE SUED BANK OF AMERICA FOR WHAT THEY
2	DID BECAUSE U.S. BANK OWNED THE LOAN. WHAT I'M CLAIMING
3	IS, YOU'RE RIGHT, U.S. BANK. IF YOU HAD WHATEVER
4	INTEREST YOU HAD, BANK OF AMERICA HAD NO RIGHT TO COME TO
5	MY HOUSE, KNOCK ON MY DOOR AND SCARE ME. THAT HAD
6	NOTHING TO DO WITH U.S. BANK.
7	THE COURT: OKAY. YOU'VE GOT ONE CAUSE OF ACTION
8	THAT'S REMAINING. THEY'RE GOING TO ANSWER. AND IS
9	THAT CORRECT?
10	MR. SHAHAM: CORRECT, YOUR HONOR.
11	THE COURT: OKAY. THEN WE MOVE FORWARD.
12	MR. SHAHAM: SHALL WE ANSWER WITHIN, YOUR HONOR,
13	SHALL WE ANSWER WITHIN HOW MANY DAYS?
14	THE COURT: HOW MANY DAYS DO YOU NEED? TEN DAYS?
15	MR. SHAHAM: CAN I HAVE TWENTY, YOUR HONOR? I
16	HAVE
17	THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ANY PROBLEM WITH HIM HAVING
18	TWENTY DAYS?
19	MRS. ROZIER: ACTUALLY, I NEED IF I'M ADDING
20	U.S. BANK
21	MR. SHAHAM: TEN IS FINE.
22	MRS. ROZIER: JE JAM ADDING U.S. BANK
23	THE COURT: YOU'RE NOT ADDING U.S. BANK. THEY'RE
24	HERE.
25	MRS. ROZIER: THEY WERE NEMER THERE WHEN I

Page 122 0F 269

AGAINST U.S. BANK THAVE LOTS AND LOTS OF FACTUAL
ALLEGARIONS AGAINST U.S. BANK AND I DID NOT INCLUDE THEM
AGAINST U.S. BANK BECAUSE I WAS NOT SUING U.S. BANK. IF
I'M NOW GOING TO BE FORCED TO SUE U.S. BANK
THE COURT: THEY'RE NOT APPEARING AS U.S. BANK.
THEY'RE APPEARING AS BANK OF AMERICA, ESSENTIALLY.
MRS. ROZIER: THEY RE APPEARING THEY RE
CLAIMING, I'M U.S. BANK AND I HAVE THE RIGHT TO SUE. AND
IF THEY'RE MAKING THAT CLAIM, THEN I NEED TO BE
ALLOWED
THE COURT: THEY HAVE THE RIGHT TO SUE?
MRS. ROZIER: THEY'RE CLAIMING THEY'RE U.S. BANK
AND THEY HAVE THE RIGHT TO FORECLOSE IS WHAT THEY'RE
SAYING. INEVER ONCE SUBMITTED ALL OF THE EXIDENCE THAT
SHOWS WHY U.S. BANK NEVER HAD THE RIGHT TO FORECLOSE.
AND IF THEY ARE GOING TO BE ALLOWED TO ANSWER THE
COMPLAINT, I SHOULD BE ALLOWED TO AMEND THE COMPLAINT TO
MAKE ALL THE PACTUAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST U.S. BANK AND TO
FORCE THEM TO ADDRESS THE FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST
U.S. BANKAL I DELIBERATELY DID NOT INCLUDE THEM IN THE
COMPLAINT BECAUSE I WAS ONLY SUING BANK OF AMERICA FOR A
VERY SPECIFIC ACT THAT BANK OF AMERICA DID.
THE COURT: WELL, THAT'S NOT REALLY TRUE, BECAUSE
YOU ORIGINALLY HAD THEM ON YOUR CAPTION. ONLY TODAY DID
YOU CROSS THEM OFF.
MRS. ROZIER: BACK WHEN I ORIGINALLY SUED I SUED

Page 123 of 269

26

BANK OF AMERICA AND U.S. BANK. 1 THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND. 2 3 MRS. ROZIER: I TOLD THEM I SUED BANK OF AMERICA 4 AND U.S. BANK AND GMAC. WHEN BANK OF AMERICA RESCINDED 5 THE FORECLOSURE I DROPPED U.S. BANK AND I DROPPED ALL OF 6 THE STUFF FROM U.S. BANK, BECAUSE I WAS ONLY GOING AFTER. 7 BANK OF AMERICA IN THE SERVICE FOR THE WRONGFUL 8 **EORECLOSURE** 9 THE COURT: OKAY. WERE YOU IN THE CASE AT THAT 10 POINT? YOU DIDN'T HAVE ANY INTEREST IN THIS PROPERTY AT 11 THAT POINT, DID YOU? 12 MR. SHAHAM: YOUR HONOR, WE MADE OUR FIRST 13 APPEARANCE IN THIS CASE I BELIEVE BACK IN DECEMBER OR 14 NOVEMBER OF LAST YEAR. 15 IF I COULD MAKE THINGS EASIER, AS I'M TRYING TO 16 DO HERE --17 MRS. ROZIER: HE'S NOT. 18 MR. SHAHAM: YOU CAN TELL ME I'M WRONG HERE, IF THE 19 PLAINTIFF -- THE ONLY CLAIM LEFT IS WRONGFUL FORECLOSURE. 20 THE COURT: CORRECT. 21 MR. SHAHAM: IF THE PLAINTIFF, JUST KAREN ROZIER, 22 WANTS TO FILE A THIRD-AMENDED COMPLAINT AGAINST WHOEVER, 23 AND THE ONLY CLAIM THAT WOULD BE IN THE THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT IS WRONGFUL FORECLOSURE, I'M WILLING TO 24 25 STIPULATE THAT IF THE COURT WILL ALLOW THAT AND THE 26 PLAINTIFF CAN DO THAT IT WILL AGAIN MAKE THINGS NICE AND

Page 124 of 269

1	CLEAN AND EASIER, YOUR HONOR.
2	THE COURT: OKAY. IS THAT WHAT YOU'RE ASKING TO
3	DO?
4	MRS. ROZIER: YES.
- 5	MR. SHAHAM: NEXT STEP, YOUR HONOR, IS IF SHE IS
6	GOING TO BE ALLOWED TO, THERE'S OBVIOUSLY A DEADLINE FOR
7	HER TO DO THAT, BUT THERE IS A SEPTEMBER 20 TRIAL DATE.
8	THE COURT: WELL, WE'LL DEAL WITH THAT.
9	MR. SHAHAM: WE'LL FIX ALL THAT STUFF. GREAT,
10	THAT'S IT.
11	THE COURT: SO THEN THE NEW RULING IS YOU'RE NOT IN
12	IT.
13	MRS. ROZIER: EXACTLY.
14	THE COURT: THE NEW RULING IS, MS. ROZIER, MOU MAN
14 15	THE COURT: THE NEW RULING IS, MS. ROZIER, MOU MAY
15	FILE ALCHERD AMENDED COMPLAINT WITH A PROPER CAPITION AND
15 16	FILE ALCHURD AMENDED COMPLAINT WITH A PROPER CAPILON AND YOU MAY BRING THE CAUSE OF ACTION FOR WRONGFUL
15 16 17	FILE A THER AMENDED COMPLAINT WITH A PROPER CAPTION AND YOU MAY BRING THE CAUSE OF ACTION FOR WRONGFUL FORECLOSURE AGAINST U.S. BANK:
15 16 17 18	FILE A CHIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT WITH A PROPER CAPITON AND YOU MAY BRING THE CAUSE OF ACTION FOR WRONGFUL FORECLOSURE AGAINST U.S. BANK: MR. SHAHAM: AND ONLY WRONGFUL FORECLOSURE.
15 16 17 18 19	FILE A THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT WITH A PROPER CAPITON AND YOU MAY BRING THE CAUSE OF ACTION FOR WRONGFUL FORECLOSURE AGAINST U.S. BANK: MR. SHAHAM: AND ONLY WRONGFUL FORECLOSURE. THE COURT: YEAH, ONLY WRONGFUL FORECLOSURE.
15 16 17 18 19 20	YOU MAY BRING THE CAUSE OF ACTION FOR WRONGFUL FORECLOSURE AGAINST U.S. BANK: MR. SHAHAM: AND ONLY WRONGFUL FORECLOSURE. THE COURT: YEAH, ONLY WRONGFUL FORECLOSURE. THERE'S NOT ANYTHING ELSE.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	YOU MAY BRING THE CAUSE OF ACTION FOR WRONGFUL FORECLOSURE AGAINST U.S. BANK. MR. SHAHAM: AND ONLY WRONGFUL FORECLOSURE. THE COURT: YEAH, ONLY WRONGFUL FORECLOSURE. THERE'S NOT ANYTHING ELSE. MRS. ROZIER: WELL, THIS IS JUST
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	FILE A THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT WITH A PROPER CAPTION AND YOU MAY BRING THE CAUSE OF ACTION FOR WRONGFUL FORECLOSURE AGAINST U.S. BANK: MR. SHAHAM: AND ONLY WRONGFUL FORECLOSURE. THE COURT: YEAH, ONLY WRONGFUL FORECLOSURE. THERE'S NOT ANYTHING ELSE. MRS. ROZIER: WELL, THIS IS JUST THE COURT: PARDON ME?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	FILE A THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT WITH A PROPER CAPTION AND YOU MAY BRING THE CAUSE OF ACTION FOR WRONGFUL FORECLOSURE AGAINST U.S. BANK: MR. SHAHAM: AND ONLY WRONGFUL FORECLOSURE. THE COURT: YEAH, ONLY WRONGFUL FORECLOSURE. THERE'S NOT ANYTHING ELSE. MRS. ROZIER: WELL, THIS IS JUST THE COURT: PARDON ME? MRS. ROZIER: YES, ON THE FIRST CASE, YES.